## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MARJORIE HYPOLITE,	)			
Plaintiff(s)	, )			
	)			
V	)	C.A. N	No.	05-11011-MLW
	)			
ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEM	S CORP.,)			
Defendant(s)	, )			

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WOLF, D.J. July 6, 2005

This action is one of about 250 civil cases assigned to me. I am also responsible for about 40 criminal cases, involving more than 65 defendants, many of whom have been incarcerated pending trial. Accordingly, I am interested in making arrangements for the fair and efficient progress and resolution of some of my civil cases.

Upon review of my civil docket, I believe that the above captioned case, and the administration of justice would benefit from being reassigned for all purposes to Magistrate Judge Sorokin pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) and (3). If such reassignment occurs, Magistrate Judge Sorokin will decide all pretrial issues, schedule a firm trial date, conduct any trial that is necessary, with or without a jury, and any appeal would be taken directly to the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Therefore, the parties are being ordered to inform the court by July 27, 2005 whether they consent to a transfer of this case to Magistrate Judge Sorokin for all purposes. The parties are free to inform the court that they do not consent to the transfer of this case to the Magistrate Judge and will not suffer any adverse substantive consequences. However, any failure to respond by the foregoing date will be deemed a consent to the proposed reassignment.

If the parties do not consent then the Magistrate Judge shall conduct all pre-trial proceedings including scheduling conferences, prepare any necessary report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and return the case to the District Judge.

/s/ MARK L. WOLF, D.J.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 1:05-cv-11011-MLW Document 4-2 Filed 07/06/2005 Page 1 of 2

Unite	D STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	District of	
Plaintiff V.	NOTICE, CONSENT, AND ORI EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION MAGISTRATE JUDGE Case Number:	
Defendant		
	TY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRA Exercise Jurisdiction	ATE JUDGE
101	EAERCISE JURISDICTION	
In accordance with the provisions of 28 magistrate judge of this district court is available and to order the entry of a final judgment. Exerciparties voluntarily consent.	, ,	cluding a jury or nonjury trial,
You may, without adverse substantive co from being exercised by a magistrate judge. If an consent will not be communicated to any magistrate		ties consenting or withholding
An appeal from a judgment entered by a n this judicial circuit in the same manner as an app	nagistrate judge shall be taken directly to the Uni eal from any other judgment of this district cour	
CONSENT TO THE EXERCISE OF JU	RISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES I	Magistrate Judge
In accordance with provisions of 28 U United States magistrate judge conduct any and all and conduct all post-judgment proceedings.	S.C. §636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, the parties in proceedings in this case, including the trial, order	
Party Represented	Signatures	Date
		<u> </u>
C	ORDER OF REFERENCE	
IT IS ORDERED that this case be referr United States Magistrate Judge, to conduct all pr §636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73.		ecordance with 28 U.S.C.

NOTE: RETURN THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT <u>ONLY IF</u> ALL PARTIES HAVE CONSENTED <u>ON THIS FORM</u> TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

United States District Judge

Date